

# Cultural Capital in the Age of Global Education

## Examining the Rise of the International Baccalaureate

Shiyun Zhang<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

This study investigates the role of the International Baccalaureate (IB) in the strategies employed by elites to counter the massification of education globally. As education systems transition from elite to mass education, increased accessibility has inadvertently diluted the value of degrees, complicating social mobility for lower classes and hindering elites' ability to maintain their status. This research analyzes how the IB serves as a strategic response for elites navigating the challenges of credential inflation and the meritocratic ideal of equal opportunity. By examining parental motivations for choosing the IB and its specific functions as discussed in the literature, this study sheds light on how IB programs function as mechanisms for social stratification. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the intersections between education, social class, and globalizing educational frameworks.

**Keywords:** International Baccalaureate, massification of education, educational stratification, cultural capital, social capital, elite strategies, credential inflation, educational systems.

---

<sup>1</sup> 未来共生学, 共生教育論, D3, isabelle.s.zhang@outlook.fr

## 1. Introduction

### **Contextual Background**

The latter half of the 20th century witnessed a global surge in educational participation, a trend referred to as massification (Altbach 2015; Tight 2019). However, this period also brought a perplexing question to the forefront: In an era of unprecedented access to education, why do stark inequalities persist? What was once a privilege reserved for the few became increasingly accessible, driven by factors such as economic growth and aspirations for social mobility (Pitman 2017; Marginson 2016). Yet, this democratization of education has created a paradox: as educational attainment became more widespread, traditional markers of elite status, previously tied to exclusivity, were challenged (Schofer & Meyer, 2005). This phenomenon has spurred a complex interplay between massification and elite responses, raising critical questions about the evolving nature of social stratification in an era of educational expansion (Altbach 2015; Varghese 2013).

While massification has broadened access to education, it has also heightened anxieties among elite groups regarding the preservation of their social and economic advantages (Brennan & Shah, 2003; Bathmaker et al., 2016). These concerns have led to an increased interest in alternative educational pathways, which are perceived as offering a more exclusive and rigorous learning experience (Gardner-McTaggart 2018). Among these, the International Baccalaureate (IB) program, with its global recognition and perceived superiority, has emerged as a prominent example. This development raises essential questions about how elite families leverage such programs to navigate the shifting educational landscape and whether they contribute to perpetuating social stratification in this new context (Furlong 2013; Gardner-McTaggart 2016).

This study examines the intersection of the International Baccalaureate program, cultural capital, and the perpetuation of elite status. Specifically, it investigates how the massification of education has fueled anxieties among elite groups, prompting them to seek alternative educational pathways, such as the IB, to preserve their social advantages. By employing Pierre Bourdieu's concept of cultural capital as a theoretical lens, this analysis explores how the IB's curriculum, pedagogical approach, and global recognition potentially reinforce elite values and social networks. Ultimately, this study examines how the IB shapes educational opportunities and contributes to the perpetuation of social inequalities on a global scale.

## **Methodology**

This paper is a comprehensive literature review that synthesizes key findings and theoretical perspectives on mass education, credential devaluation, and the role of elite educational pathways. The primary aim is to summarize and critically engage with existing academic works in these areas. Rather than presenting original empirical research, this review focuses on analyzing and interpreting previously published studies, identifying trends, highlighting gaps, and outlining future research directions. This approach provides a solid foundation for understanding the current state of research while offering a critical framework for addressing the issues discussed.

## **2. The Rise of Mass Education**

### **2.1 The Shifting Tides of Education: From Mass Enrolment to Elite Anxiety**

The massification of education is not merely a numerical phenomenon but reflects deep societal changes. As educational systems expanded, they began to play a central role in shaping social mobility, national identity, and economic opportunity (Trow 2007). However, despite the benefits of expanding access, mass education has also fueled anxieties about credential devaluation and deepened social stratification, as those with resources sought ways to maintain their educational advantages (Brown, Lauder, & Ashton, 2010; Collins, 2002). This phenomenon underscores a critical shift where the increasing availability of higher education qualifications has led to a decrease in their value, often prompting elite groups to find new ways to preserve their status (Marginson 2016; Bourdieu & Passeron 1990).

As educational credentials have become more ubiquitous, their capacity to distinguish individuals in competitive labor markets has diminished. This trend, observed not only in the U.S. but across the globe, reflects a broader shift in which degrees that once provided unique access to opportunities are increasingly viewed as necessary but insufficient for securing desirable positions.

#### **2.1.1 Historical Context**

##### **Early Developments**

The journey toward mass education began well before the post-World War II era, rooted in the unique socio-economic and political shifts of the 19th

century. As Westberg (2015) and Meyer, Ramírez, and Soysal (1992) show, the rise of industrialization and urbanization in Europe during the 1800s created a demand for a literate and skilled workforce, leading to the birth of mass schooling systems. However, these systems were not uniformly developed across regions, and the expansion of primary education between 1870 and 1940 was shaped by a complex interplay of social, economic, and political factors (Benavot et al. 1988).

Prussia's establishment of compulsory education in 1763 is a notable early example of state-led education reforms (Zinkina, Korotayev & Andreev 2016). These efforts were driven by the need to foster a skilled labour force and a cohesive national identity. As Kamens (1988) observes, education became a critical tool for nation-building, reinforcing both civic engagement and the political control of newly industrialized societies.

This early period of educational expansion, while transformative, was not uniformly experienced across the globe. The 1918 Smith-Hughes Act in the United States, which supported vocational training, and the 1944 Butler Act in the United Kingdom, which expanded secondary education, reflect how Western nations approached education as a tool for national development (Glodin 2001; Earl 1984; Akenson 1971). Yet, as Benavot et al. (1988) argue, this uneven development highlights the complexities of implementing universal education, a challenge that would persist into the 20th century.

## **Post-World War II Expansion**

While the groundwork for mass education was laid in the 19th century, the post-World War II era saw an unprecedented global expansion of educational systems. Countries around the world began to view education as central to national development, economic growth, and individual opportunity. The period from 1945 to the 1970s saw major developments in primary, secondary, and tertiary education, with global enrolment rates soaring (Schofer & Meyer 2005).

The U.S. experienced a significant expansion in higher education, spurred by the GI Bill of 1948, which broadened access for veterans. This coincided with the post-war baby boom, leading to dramatic increases in college enrolment (Thomas, Alexander, & Eckland 1979). The launch of Sputnik in 1957 by the Soviet Union further prompted the U.S. to increase investments in education, particularly in STEM fields, leading to curriculum reforms and the passage of the National Defence Education Act in 1958. These developments underscored the growing belief that education was essential for technological advancement and national security (Springer, Goujon, &

Jurasszovich 2019).

However, while mass education expanded access, the benefits were not evenly distributed. Benavot and Riddle (1988) emphasize that this period, though marked by significant growth, continued to reflect the global inequalities present in the earlier phases of educational development. Despite major advances, many regions struggled to implement universal education.

Decolonization movements in Africa and Asia during the mid-20th century further emphasized the role of education in state-building and development. For example, in Ghana, Kwame Nkrumah prioritized education as a tool to dismantle colonial structures and promote national empowerment (Boakye & Kwarteng 2018). However, even with the global emphasis on education, significant disparities remained between developed and developing nations.

## **Global Spread**

The second half of the 20th century saw the rise of education as a global priority. International organizations like UNESCO and the World Bank played key roles in promoting education as a means of economic development, particularly in the Global South. UNESCO's "Education for All" initiative and the World Bank's investments in building educational infrastructure reinforced the idea that education was central to modernity and progress (Heyneman 2003). Countries such as Nigeria, following independence, heavily invested in education as part of their development strategies, often with the support of international organizations.

At the same time, the expansion of education in developing nations brought to the forefront the issue of credential inflation. As Zeng (2023) highlights, economic incentives remain a dominant motivation for Chinese undergraduates pursuing higher education, even as the value of their degrees declines due to the oversaturation of credentials in the job market. This phenomenon reflects a broader trend where the increasing availability of higher education reduces the competitive edge traditionally conferred by such qualifications (Marginson 2016; Tomlinson & Watermeyer 2022).

As higher education qualifications became more accessible to a wider population, their value began to decline, a trend that Furlong (2013) describes as the 'credential inflation'—the phenomenon where degrees that once provided significant social and economic advantages are now seen as mere prerequisites, particularly in developed nations, as credentials became more common. Collins (2002) further suggests that this phenomenon of credential inflation, where education no longer offers a clear competitive advantage, became particularly pronounced as degrees became more

ubiquitous. Brown (2001) also highlights that as higher education becomes more accessible, the differentiation that degrees once provided in the labor market diminishes, creating a more competitive and less rewarding environment.

## 2.2 The Uneven Impact of Mass Education

While mass education expanded access to millions globally, it also exposed deep inequalities between and within nations. The legacy of colonialism and unequal economic development meant that while Western nations rapidly advanced their educational systems, many developing countries struggled to catch up (Benavot & Riddle 1988). Additionally, the rise of lifelong learning in Scandinavian countries and other developed regions during the 1970s further widened the gap between nations that could afford to invest in continuous education and those that could not (Jarvis 2004). This uneven development highlights the persistent disparities in educational quality and access, reinforcing existing global inequalities and limiting the potential for mass education to serve as a universal equalizer (Heyneman 2003).

## 2.3 Discussion on Mass Education and Equity

The rise of mass education has undeniably transformed societies, creating pathways for upward mobility and national development. Yet, as this chapter highlights, the expansion of educational access has been marked by deep inequalities. From the early development of mass education in Europe to the post-war push for universal education, the global spread of schooling has often reinforced existing social and economic disparities. As Westberg (2015), Meyer et al. (1992), and Zinkina, Korotayev, & Andreev (2016) demonstrate, early mass education efforts were closely tied to state-building and economic growth, particularly in Western nations. However, as Benavot et al. (1988) and Heyneman (2003) show, the expansion of education globally has been uneven, reflecting historical, economic, and political differences between nations.

Furlong (2013) further underscores that the massification of education has led to new challenges, such as credential inflation, where the value of degrees diminishes as more individuals attain them. His study primarily focuses on Western countries, particularly the United States and the United Kingdom, where elite educational strategies like the International Baccalaureate (IB) provide families with social and economic capital an edge in the increasingly

competitive job market. This phenomenon, however, is not limited to these regions. Credential inflation is also evident in other parts of the world, including East Asia, particularly China and Japan, where the value of educational credentials has become increasingly stratified, with elite programs like the IB offering students significant advantages in terms of both social status and career prospects (Furlong 2013). In sub-Saharan Africa, the expansion of higher education has not always resulted in economic mobility, as many graduates find their degrees offer limited value in the job market, particularly in countries like Nigeria and Kenya (Oketch 2007).

### 3. The Credential Dilemma: Access vs. Value

#### 3.1 The Paradox of Progress: Access Leading to Devaluation

The widespread expansion of access to education, particularly at higher levels, has long been hailed as a cornerstone of societal progress (Psacharopoulos & Patrinos 2018; Schultz 1961). A more educated citizenry is expected to lead to greater economic opportunity, civic engagement, and overall well-being (Baum et al. 2013; Putnam 2000).

However, this seemingly straightforward equation encounters a significant complication: the potential for credential inflation, where increased educational attainment results in the diminishing value of academic credentials in the job market. As educational attainment becomes more widespread, the value of any given credential—a high school diploma or a college degree—may diminish in the workforce. What was once a marker of distinction can become a baseline expectation, creating a paradox where progress itself contributes to a shifting landscape of opportunity (Collins 1979; Brown 2001).

Credential devaluation is evident in the historical trajectory of the U.S. high school diploma. Once a rare and valuable credential signifying middle-class status, the high school diploma became a baseline qualification as its attainment became widespread, diminishing its value (Collins 2002). Similarly, degrees that once conferred distinct advantages are now often seen as mere requirements for entry-level roles, signaling the broader trend of credential inflation globally.

While this credential dilemma affects individuals across the socioeconomic spectrum, it is crucial to recognize that not all players are impacted equally. Elite families, with their existing cultural and economic capital, are particularly well-positioned to navigate this shifting terrain. By investing in supplementary education, including programs like the IB

Diploma, they ensure their children remain ahead in the increasingly competitive race for academic and professional success (Furlong 2013). The IB, with its rigorous curriculum and international recognition, becomes a symbol of distinction, setting its graduates apart from those with more conventional educational qualifications. In contrast, for students from less privileged backgrounds, credential inflation presents a significant obstacle. Without access to elite programs like the IB or the financial resources needed to pursue advanced degrees, these students find themselves at a disadvantage in the job market, perpetuating cycles of inequality. As a result, credential inflation not only affects individual career prospects but also exacerbates social stratification by reinforcing the advantages of those with cultural and economic capital (Furlong 2013; Brown 2001).

### 3.2 The Uneven Playing Field: Exacerbating Existing Inequalities

While the pressure to accumulate credentials affects all students, the consequences of credential devaluation disproportionately impact those from disadvantaged backgrounds. Already facing systemic barriers to quality education, these students are further disadvantaged by the increasing emphasis on advanced qualifications (Collins 2002). Programs like the IB, while designed to foster inquiry-based learning and global citizenship, may unintentionally benefit students from affluent backgrounds who possess the cultural and financial capital needed to thrive in competitive environments (Gardner-McTaggart 2016).

Affluent families engage in what might be termed 'opportunity hoarding,' a concept introduced by sociologist Charles Tilly in his 1998 work *Durable Inequality*. This term describes how privileged groups maintain their status by controlling access to valuable resources and opportunities, effectively excluding others (Tilly 1998). In the context of education, affluent families leverage resources like private tutoring, test preparation courses, and enrichment programs—advantages that remain largely inaccessible to students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds (Bray 2017, p. 480). This creates a self-perpetuating cycle where access to elite educational institutions becomes more about financial capability than merit. The dynamics described by Bray align with this concept, illustrating how educational inequality is reinforced through economic means.

For disadvantaged students, this concentration of resources among the wealthy results in formidable obstacles (Reeves 2017). Without the family networks or financial support enjoyed by elite students, first-generation and underrepresented students face compounded difficulties in navigating the



increasingly stratified educational landscape (Lareau 2011). The advantages elite students enjoy raise the bar for success, making it harder for less privileged students to compete (Reardon 2011).

**Supplementary Education:** Private tutoring, test preparation courses, and enrichment programs provide significant advantages, often unavailable to lower-income students. Research shows that external academic support in places like Beijing improves academic performance, physical health, and non-cognitive skills, offering long-term benefits (Wang & Li 2018). The global expansion of supplementary education alongside formal schooling further highlights the growing divide, where academic success increasingly depends on financial resources (Bray 2017).

**Private Schooling:** Elite private schools, with their smaller class sizes, rigorous curricula, and powerful alumni networks, offer students a pathway to prestigious universities. Research reveals that such institutions perpetuate social stratification, particularly in middle- and low-income countries, where social mobility remains limited (Marginson 2016). The socioeconomic advantages of attending elite schools reinforce cycles of privilege, ensuring that elite students continue to dominate top-tier educational and career opportunities.

For disadvantaged students, the uneven playing field presents significant challenges. Without the benefits of established networks or access to supplementary education, these students struggle to compete with their elite peers, who have far more opportunities to succeed. As a result, the strategies employed by elites reinforce existing social hierarchies, making upward mobility increasingly difficult for those without significant resources.

### 3.3 Elite Concerns and Strategies: Preserving Advantage in a Changing Landscape

As traditional credentials lose their value, elites have become more anxious about maintaining their competitive edge. This anxiety reflects a “zero-sum” mentality, where educational attainment is viewed as a competition for limited resources and opportunities, leading elites to seek out alternative pathways to distinguish their children from the rest. In the U.S., legacy preferences—admissions policies that give preferential treatment to applicants with family members who are alumni—play a significant role in college admissions (Espenshade, Chung, & Walling 2004) play a significant role in college admissions, with Hurwitz (2011) demonstrating that legacy status triples the odds of admission to elite colleges. This preferential treatment enables elite families to leverage their social connections,

reinforcing educational hierarchies and limiting access to students from less privileged backgrounds. Furthermore, as Hulse (2019) argues, admissions preferences based on legacy or athletics perpetuate inequality by favouring those already entrenched in elite social circles, prompting debates over the fairness of such practices.

One prominent strategy employed by elite families involves seeking out elite institutions and programs perceived as maintaining higher standards and offering greater prestige. This could include sending their children to private schools, vying for admission to top-tier universities, or enrolling them in exclusive programs within larger institutions. These institutions often have selective admissions criteria and significant financial resources, providing smaller class sizes, individualized attention, and access to influential networks—all contributing to a perception of superior quality and exclusivity (Espenshade & Radford 2009; Khan 2011).

This strategy reflects a long-term understanding of the benefits conferred by elite educational institutions, which are often crucial for maintaining and reproducing social status across generations. Research on British elites shows that alumni from prestigious schools are disproportionately represented in positions of power, with Reeves, Friedman, Rahal, and Flemmen (2017) finding that graduates of elite schools are 94 times more likely to occupy leadership roles compared to their peers. This underscores the long-term advantages conferred by elite educational institutions, as access to such schools solidifies one's place within upper social echelons. This highlights the enduring influence of certain institutions and underscores why affluent families prioritize access to them.

In addition to seeking out elite institutions, affluent families often invest heavily in supplementary education to give their children a competitive edge. This includes hiring private tutors, enrolling in test preparation courses, or providing access to enrichment programs in areas like music, arts, and athletics. Research indicates a strong correlation between household wealth and educational investment, further widening the gap between affluent students and their less affluent peers. A study by Zou (2020) found that higher household income levels significantly correlate with increased education expenditure, illustrating how wealthier families can invest in additional resources to support their children's education.

The consequences of this uneven playing field are significant. Not only does it perpetuate social and economic inequality, but it also undermines the very notion of education as a pathway to upward mobility. When access to the most valuable credentials is increasingly determined by pre-existing

advantages, the promise of education as a great equalizer rings hollow.

As Hurwitz (2011) shows, legacy admissions in U.S. elite colleges offer a clear advantage to children of alumni, tripling their odds of acceptance. This reinforces educational hierarchies, making it harder for less privileged students to compete. Similarly, as Reeves et al. (2017) notes, British elite schools produce graduates who are overwhelmingly represented in leadership positions, demonstrating how access to elite educational institutions continues to reproduce social power across generations. Programs like the IB, highlighted by Furlong (2013), offer another pathway for elites to distinguish themselves, signalling not just academic success but cultural capital—attributes increasingly important in global elite circles. These mechanisms all serve to preserve the socioeconomic advantage of elite families, perpetuating inequalities despite the democratization of access to education.

### 3.4 The Shifting Value of Traditional Credentials

As educational participation rises, the perceived value of traditional credentials, such as bachelor's degrees, has diminished. This phenomenon is most evident in fields where the supply of graduates exceeds demand, such as law, where the number of graduates often outpaces job availability (Tamanaha 2012). In response, elite families increasingly look beyond traditional qualifications, seeking alternative ways to secure their children's future success in an oversaturated market.

For many, programs like the IB offer a means of distinguishing oneself in a crowded field. Participation in such programs signals not only academic excellence but also cultural sophistication and global awareness—attributes that are becoming increasingly important in elite social circles (Furlong 2013). These programs, alongside supplementary education like SAT preparation and specialized tutoring, provide students from affluent backgrounds with additional tools to navigate the competitive landscape (Zou 2020).

This shift has profound implications for the future of education. As traditional degrees become less reliable indicators of success, educational institutions face pressure to adapt by offering more than just credentials. Increasingly, the ability to succeed in a competitive job market depends on one's access to supplementary education, global experiences, and specialized qualifications—further privileging students with access to greater financial resources (Brown et al. 2010).

For students from disadvantaged backgrounds, the reliance on these

additional credentials exacerbates inequality. Without access to these alternative pathways, many students struggle to compete in a system increasingly shaped by the investments made by affluent families (Bray, 2017). The growing disparity in access to supplementary education and enrichment programs highlights the need for more equitable educational policies to prevent further entrenchment of social inequalities (Perna et al. 2015).

## 4. Elite Reproduction and the IB Diploma

### 4.1 History, Philosophy, and Global Spread of the IB

#### 4.1.1 Origins

The International Baccalaureate (IB) program was conceived in the 1950s to address the need for a standardized, international curriculum, particularly for the children of diplomats and expatriates. Initially developed in Europe, the IB sought to create an educational framework that transcended national boundaries. According to Poelzer and Feldhusen (1997), the program's early focus on preparing students for university-level education was accompanied by the vision of fostering global citizenship. Its expansion into North America during the 1970s marked the beginning of its global proliferation.

#### 4.1.2 Underlying Philosophy

Central to the IB's philosophy is the development of the "whole person," emphasizing both academic and personal growth. The curriculum promotes inquiry-based learning, encouraging students to engage critically with global issues and foster international-mindedness (IBO n.d.-c). IBO (n.d.-a) highlights that the IB's mission is to create active, compassionate, and lifelong learners, while fostering intercultural understanding through multilingualism and global engagement.

However, this inquiry-based learning model, while valuable, may privilege students from backgrounds with pre-existing cultural capital, such as access to global networks and prior exposure to similar educational experiences (Jurasaitė-O'Keefe 2022). This holistic approach, intended to produce globally conscious individuals, often favours students who have the financial resources and support systems necessary to navigate such environments successfully.

#### 4.1.3 Global Growth

Over the past five decades, the IB has expanded globally, now offering

over 7,500 programs in nearly 160 countries. While this expansion has enabled the IB to become a symbol of global competence and academic rigor, questions about equitable access remain central. The IB has grown from elite international schools to include public schools in countries such as India, China, and Australia. However, the ability to access and benefit from the IB is often restricted to students with the resources to participate in these elite programs (Karnes and Nugent 2003).

Despite the IB's flexibility in implementation, which allows it to function either as a standalone curriculum or in conjunction with national education systems, the program's reach remains concentrated among affluent students. These students, often possessing greater cultural and financial capital, are better positioned to excel in the IB's rigorous academic environment (IBO n.d.-b).

## 4.2 IB Curriculum and Pedagogy: Analysing Potential for Elite Reinforcement

While the IB is celebrated for promoting academic rigor and global-mindedness, aspects of its curriculum and pedagogy may contribute to social stratification, inadvertently benefiting students from privileged backgrounds. The structure of the IB program—its content selection, teaching methods, teacher demographics, and student interactions—can reinforce existing inequalities.

### 4.2.1 Content Selection and Hidden Curriculum

The IB curriculum may contain implicit biases that reflect Western or elite perspectives, prioritizing subjects such as global economics and international relations that are more familiar to students from privileged backgrounds. Igarashi and Saito (2014) highlight how international curricula like the IB institutionalize cosmopolitanism as cultural capital, where students from wealthier backgrounds are more likely to benefit from a curriculum that assumes familiarity with global issues.

Consequently, while the IB aims to promote global-mindedness, the hidden curriculum may favour students with prior exposure to international environments and global networks. This further widens the achievement gap and perpetuates social stratification by privileging students from elite backgrounds.

#### 4.2.2 Teaching Methods

The IB's focus on inquiry-based learning fosters critical thinking and independent research. However, this pedagogical model can inadvertently favour students with access to private tutoring or other resources that help them excel in such settings. Chichekian & Shore (2014) suggest that students from wealthier families, who can afford these supplementary resources, are more likely to succeed, further widening the achievement gap for students from lower-income backgrounds.

#### Teacher Demographics

The demographic composition of IB teachers, often drawn from elite or Western backgrounds, can also reinforce social inequalities. A lack of diversity among teaching staff may limit the range of perspectives offered in the classroom, marginalizing students from non-dominant cultural backgrounds. Gardner-McTaggart (2018) notes that the lack of diverse role models within the IB teaching workforce can contribute to a sense of alienation among students from marginalized groups, hindering their academic engagement and success.

#### Structured Interactions and Socialization

While the IB encourages collaboration through group projects and discussions, these structured interactions may inadvertently favour students from more privileged backgrounds. Without careful facilitation, group dynamics can reinforce social hierarchies, with wealthier or more confident students dominating discussions. Sharma and Subramanyam (2020) point out that students from less privileged backgrounds may feel marginalized in these group settings, leading to lower academic performance and weakened motivation.

#### 4.3 International Mobility: Benefits and Limitations

The global recognition of the International Baccalaureate (IB) Diploma and its emphasis on international-mindedness offer students unique opportunities for both university admissions and career advancement. The IB is often seen as a prestigious credential that opens doors to elite institutions worldwide, enhancing students' prospects in an increasingly globalized job market. However, these benefits are disproportionately available to affluent students who can bear the significant financial costs associated with the IB program. As noted by Maire & Windle (2021), the IB Diploma is frequently leveraged by socially dominant families to secure educational advantages,

effectively reinforcing social inequality both domestically and globally.

Students from low-income and racial/ethnic minority backgrounds face significant barriers in accessing IB programs, primarily due to financial constraints. These include high tuition fees, examination costs, and expenses related to studying abroad (Perna et al. 2015). Many IB schools are located in affluent areas or private institutions, creating geographic and economic segregation. This financial burden not only limits immediate access to IB programs but also restricts the ability of these students to benefit from the global networks and international opportunities the program offers. Consequently, such disparities further entrench existing inequalities, making it difficult for marginalized groups to compete equally with their more affluent peers.

Gardner-McTaggart (2016) highlights that the IB's focus on creating "global citizens" tends to disproportionately benefit students from affluent families, who often have greater access to global networks, resources, and experiences. These students possess access to global networks, resources, and experiences that are often unattainable for lower-income individuals. They can take advantage of extracurricular opportunities, such as international internships or summer programs, which complement their IB education and enhance their university applications. As a result, these students are better positioned to leverage their IB credentials for prestigious university admissions and professional advancement. This dynamic creates a cycle of privilege that perpetuates existing inequalities, effectively limiting the transformative potential of the IB program for those who are not part of the affluent class.

For example, a student from a low-income background who excels academically but lacks the financial means to attend an IB school or study abroad may miss out on experiences and connections that could enhance their educational journey. Meanwhile, an affluent student with similar academic capabilities may have access to additional resources—such as private tutoring, international travel, and networking opportunities—that allow them to maximize the benefits of their IB education. Such differences underscore the critical need for systemic changes that ensure equitable access to IB programs, so that all students, regardless of socioeconomic status, can fully participate in and benefit from the global opportunities presented by the IB framework.

## 5. Cultural Capital and Educational Choice

This section explores the intersection between cultural capital and educational choices, drawing on Pierre Bourdieu's theory to understand how educational systems, particularly the International Baccalaureate (IB) program, function as mechanisms for the accumulation and transmission of cultural capital. By using Bourdieu's framework, we can examine how educational choices not only reflect social inequalities but also actively perpetuate them, reinforcing elite social structures across generations.

### 5.1 Bourdieu's Concept of Cultural Capital

Bourdieu's theory of cultural capital (Bourdieu 1986) provides a crucial lens for examining how education contributes to the reproduction of social inequalities. Cultural capital encompasses non-monetary resources—such as knowledge, skills, and cultural assets—that individuals acquire, which confer social advantages. Bourdieu categorized cultural capital into three primary forms:

Embodied Cultural Capital refers to the internalized dispositions, skills, and knowledge that individuals acquire through socialization, particularly within the family. Children from affluent families, for instance, are often socialized into intellectual activities and habits that align with the values of educational institutions. As a result, they have a significant head start in navigating elite educational environments (Lareau 2011).

Objectified Cultural Capital consists of physical expressions of cultural knowledge, such as books, artworks, and other cultural artifacts. The possession of such objects often signals not only wealth but also the specialized knowledge required to appreciate them, reinforcing class distinctions (DiMaggio 1987).

Institutionalized Cultural Capital includes academic qualifications and credentials, such as diplomas and degrees, which confer recognized status within society. Formal education rewards the cultural dispositions of students from privileged backgrounds, further entrenching social hierarchies (Reay 2004).

Bourdieu's concept of cultural capital elucidates how social hierarchies are reproduced through education. Families with substantial cultural capital pass it on to their children, often invisibly, through everyday interactions and educational practices, creating an intergenerational cycle of privilege. Educational systems tend to favour students whose cultural dispositions align with the dominant culture, placing those who lack such capital at a



disadvantage. This transmission of cultural capital exacerbates social inequalities, as students from affluent families are more likely to thrive in systems that value their pre-existing cultural assets (Bourdieu & Passeron 1990).

## 5.2 Cultural Capital and Educational Advantage

Bourdieu's theory elucidates how educational systems favour students with high cultural capital, providing them with greater opportunities for success. This advantage becomes particularly pronounced in competitive, elite educational environments like the IB, where the curriculum and pedagogical approaches are often designed with the expectations and habits of affluent students in mind. Educational institutions, whether explicitly or implicitly, value the cultural competencies that elite families impart to their children. This dynamic creates a feedback loop: students with more cultural capital are better positioned to navigate academic challenges, while those with less often struggle to keep pace.

### 5.2.1 Family Background and Schooling

The acquisition of cultural capital begins at home. Families with substantial cultural capital instil in their children's values, habits, and dispositions that align with educational expectations. For instance, families that prioritize reading, intellectual engagement, and exposure to the arts equip their children with skills essential for excelling in academic environments (Lareau 2003). This familial advantage is reinforced in elite programs like the IB, where the curriculum assumes students' familiarity with global issues, critical thinking, and inquiry-based learning, skills often cultivated in affluent households (Gardner-McTaggart 2016). As a result, these children are more likely to attract favourable attention from teachers, achieve academically, and pursue higher education, thereby reproducing their family's social status (Reay 2004).

### 5.2.2 Educational Inequalities

The uneven distribution of cultural capital exacerbates educational inequalities. Students from working-class or lower-income backgrounds often lack access to the same cultural resources as their affluent peers, making success in schools that reward elite dispositions more challenging (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1990). In the IB program, this manifests in students from disadvantaged backgrounds facing additional hurdles in adapting to a curriculum that emphasizes independent research, critical inquiry, and global

perspectives—skills that they may not have been exposed to at home (Gardner-McTaggart, 2016). This disadvantage can manifest in various ways, including language barriers, unfamiliarity with academic norms, and limited access to extracurricular activities—all contributing to poorer academic outcomes. Consequently, cultural capital becomes a key mechanism in perpetuating social inequalities across generations (DiMaggio 1987).

### 5.3 The IB as a Form of Cultural Capital

The International Baccalaureate (IB) program, renowned for its academic rigor and emphasis on international-mindedness, serves as a form of institutionalized cultural capital. Participation in the IB signals both academic competence and cosmopolitanism, attributes increasingly valued in elite social circles (Igarashi & Saito 2014). Thus, the IB functions not only as an educational framework but as a marker of cultural capital, accessible predominantly to those with pre-existing social and financial advantages. This allows students from privileged backgrounds to leverage their IB experiences in pursuit of social and academic advantages (Maire & Windle 2021).

#### 5.3.1 IB and Social Stratification

Enrolment in the IB program is typically associated with elite private schools or well-resourced public institutions. The IB curriculum, emphasizing critical thinking, inquiry-based learning, and multilingualism, aligns with the dispositions of culturally privileged students (Gardner-McTaggart 2016; Lareau 2011). These students, more familiar with inquiry-based learning and global issues, excel within the program, while those without such exposure face significant challenges. Consequently, students from affluent families can leverage their IB credentials to access prestigious universities and elite social networks, perpetuating cycles of privilege (Bourdieu, 1986; Gardner-McTaggart 2016).

#### 5.3.2 Global Recognition and Elite Networks

The IB Diploma is highly regarded in global educational markets, particularly within elite university admissions processes. This recognition enhances the social mobility of those who possess it; however, such mobility is often limited to students who can afford the high costs associated with IB enrolment, including tuition and the financial burden of studying abroad. For many lower-income students, the IB remains an inaccessible luxury, further

entrenching educational inequalities. The program's global reputation reinforces its role as a gatekeeper of elite networks, with only those possessing the financial and cultural capital necessary to access these networks benefiting from its full potential. Moreover, as Gardner-McTaggart (2016) notes, the IB's emphasis on fostering "global citizens" is especially beneficial for affluent students who can engage in international opportunities and networks (Reay 2004).

## 6. Discussion

The findings from this study reveal the intricate ways in which the International Baccalaureate (IB) program, while promoting global citizenship and academic excellence, inadvertently reinforces social stratification. The IB's emphasis on inquiry-based learning, international perspectives, and academic rigor aligns closely with the dispositions of students from affluent backgrounds, who have the cultural and financial resources to navigate this environment successfully (Gardner-McTaggart 2016; Jurasaitė-O'Keefe 2022). This alignment suggests that the IB functions not only as an educational framework but also as a mechanism for elite reproduction, offering advantages predominantly to those already positioned in higher social strata (Bourdieu & Passeron 1990).

One of the critical insights from this analysis is the concept of opportunity hoarding, where elite families use supplementary educational resources—such as private tutoring, test preparation, and enrichment programs—to give their children a competitive edge (Bray 2017; Wang & Li 2018). This practice is particularly evident in how these families leverage the IB's global recognition to access elite universities and career opportunities, perpetuating cycles of privilege (Maire & Windle 2021).

Furthermore, the structural aspects of the IB, including its content selection and teaching methods, often reflect Western and elite cultural norms, thereby disadvantaging students from less privileged backgrounds (Igarashi & Saito 2014). The hidden curriculum within the IB, which implicitly favors those with prior exposure to global issues and critical inquiry, exacerbates educational inequalities (Chichekian & Shore 2014).

This discussion also highlights the significant financial barriers that prevent equitable access to the IB program. The high costs associated with IB enrollment, examination fees, and related expenses limit participation primarily to affluent students, reinforcing economic segregation within the

educational system (Perna et al. 2015). As a result, the transformative potential of the IB as a tool for social mobility is undermined.

To address these disparities, it is crucial for the IB organization to critically reflect on its role in perpetuating educational inequality and consider reforms aimed at broadening access and inclusivity. Strategies could include offering scholarships, reducing costs, and adapting the curriculum to be more culturally responsive and inclusive of diverse student backgrounds (Karnes & Nugent 2003).

In conclusion, while the IB's mission of fostering global citizenship is commendable, its current structure and implementation predominantly benefit those who are already advantaged, thus reinforcing social hierarchies. For the IB to fulfil its potential as a genuinely equitable educational framework, substantial reforms are needed to ensure that all students, regardless of socioeconomic status, can access and benefit from its offerings.

## 7. Conclusion

This study has critically examined the International Baccalaureate (IB) program within the context of mass education, cultural capital, and social stratification. Through a detailed analysis of the IB's curriculum, pedagogy, and global spread, it is evident that while the program is celebrated for its academic rigor and emphasis on global citizenship, it also plays a significant role in reinforcing existing social inequalities (Resnik 2009; Hayden 2011).

The massification of education, characterized by the widespread expansion of educational opportunities, has democratized access to learning globally. However, this process has also led to new forms of social stratification as traditional markers of elite status, such as exclusive educational credentials, have become more accessible. In response, elite groups have sought alternative educational pathways to maintain their advantages, with the IB program serving as a prime example.

The analysis shows that the IB curriculum's structure and outcomes cater primarily to elite families seeking to offset the effects of massification. The program's emphasis on inquiry-based learning, critical thinking, and independent research—while progressive—often favours students who already possess the cultural and financial capital necessary to thrive in such an environment. The pedagogical methods employed by the IB may inadvertently privilege students from affluent backgrounds, who have access to supplementary educational resources such as private tutoring and

enrichment programs. This dynamic reinforces social inequalities, as wealthier students are better positioned to excel in the IB program and gain admission to prestigious universities.

Furthermore, the often-homogenous demographic composition of the IB teaching workforce perpetuates cultural biases and limit the inclusion of diverse perspectives in the classroom. This lack of representation may hinder the educational experiences of students from non-dominant cultural backgrounds, thereby contributing to the reproduction of social hierarchies.

Additionally, the structured interactions within the IB program, such as group projects and extracurricular activities, may also reproduce social stratification. Students from elite backgrounds, who are often more confident and better resourced, may dominate these interactions, forming exclusive social networks that reflect and reinforce broader societal inequalities.

The global recognition of the IB Diploma further amplifies these issues, as it provides a distinct advantage in university admissions and professional opportunities, particularly within elite institutions. This advantage is disproportionately accessible to students from privileged backgrounds, who can afford the high costs associated with IB enrolment and the additional resources required to succeed in the program.

In conclusion, the IB program, despite its noble intentions of fostering global citizenship and academic excellence, ultimately aligns with the needs of elites seeking to maintain their status in an era of mass education. By offering a curriculum and pedagogical approach that primarily caters to the already advantaged, the IB program not only perpetuates existing social inequalities but also serves as a strategic tool for elites to counteract the democratizing effects of massification.

For the IB to truly fulfil its mission of creating globally minded citizens and promoting educational equity, it is essential to critically reflect on these embedded inequalities. This reflection should guide future reforms aimed at making the IB program more inclusive and accessible to all students, regardless of their socio-economic background. Only by addressing these disparities can the IB program become a genuine vehicle for social mobility, rather than a means of preserving existing privilege.

## References

- Abdullah, N. S. S., Majid, M. Z. A., & Hussin, M. 2022. Systematic Literature Review of Higher Education and Unemployment in Asian Countries. *International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management and Sciences* 11(1) 63–81.
- Akenson, D H. 1971. *Patterns of English Educational Change: The Fisher and the Butler Acts*. Cambridge University Press, 11(2), 143-143. <https://doi.org/10.2307/367591>.
- Baum, S., Ma, J., & Payea, K. 2013. *Education pays 2013: The benefits of higher education for individuals and society*. College Board.
- Benavot, A., & Riddle, P. 1988. The Expansion of Primary Education, 1870-1940: Trends and Issues. *Sociology Of Education*, 61, 191-210. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2112627>.
- Bennett, M J. 1997. When dreams came true: the GI Bill and the making of modern America. *Association of College and Research Libraries*, 34(09), 34-5275. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.34-5275>
- Boakye, P., & Kwarteng, K. 2018. Education for Nation Building: The Vision of Osagyefo Dr. Kwame Nkrumah for University Education in the Early Stages of Self-Government and Independence in Ghana. *Abibisem: Journal of African Culture and Civilization*. [https://doi.org/10.4796\\_3/ajacc.v7i0.38](https://doi.org/10.4796_3/ajacc.v7i0.38).
- Bourdieu, P. 1986. *The forms of capital*. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241-258). Greenwood.
- Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J.-C. 1990. *Reproduction in education, society and culture*. Sage Publications.
- Bray, M. 2017. Schooling and Its Supplements: Changing Global Patterns and Implications for Comparative Education. *Comparative Education Review*, 61, 469-491. <https://doi.org/10.1086/692709>.
- Brown, P., Lauder, H., & Ashton, D. 2010. *The Global Auction: The Broken Promises of Education, Jobs, and Incomes*. Oxford University Press.
- Burk, Bernard A. 2019. The New Normal Ten Years In: The Job Market for New Lawyers Today and What It Means for The Legal Academy Tomorrow. *13 FLA. INT'L L. REV.* 341 (2019), Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3341833> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3341833>
- Chichekian, T., & Shore, B. M. 2014. The International Baccalaureate: Contributing to the use of inquiry in higher education teaching and learning. In J. M. Carfora & P. Blessinger (Eds.), *Inquiry-based learning for faculty and institutional development: A conceptual and practical resource for educators* (Vol. 1, pp. [page range if available]). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S2055-364120140000001006>
- Collins, R. 2002. Credential inflation and the future of universities. In S. Brint, *The Future of the City of Intellect: The Changing American University* (pp. 23-46). Stanford University Press.
- Diemer, M., Marchand, A., & Mistry, R. 2019. Charting How Wealth Shapes Educational Pathways from Childhood to Early Adulthood: A Developmental Process Model. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 49, 1073 - 1091. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-019-01162-4>.
- DiMaggio, P. 1987. Classification in art. *American Sociological Review*, 52(4), 440-455. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095883>
- Earl, W J H. 1984. *The 1944 Education Act – Forty Years On*. Routledge, 6(2), 88-92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0141620840060209>
- Eide, K. 1990. The boom period in American educational research. *Prospects*, 20, 31-39.

- <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02195425>
- Escalonilla, M. 2022. After finishing compulsory education, what path do I choose: academic-related or vocational training-related studies? *Applied Economics Letters*, 30, 1772 - 1775. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2022.2082366>
- Espenshade, T. J., Chung, C. Y., & Walling, J. L. 2004. Admission Preferences for Minority Students, Athletes, and Legacies at Elite Universities. *Social Science Quarterly*, 85(5), 1422-1446.
- Furlong, Andy 2013. *Youth Studies: An Introduction*. New York. Routledge. p. 73. ISBN 9780415564762.
- Heyneman, S. 2003. The History and Problems in the Making of Education Policy at the World Bank, 1960–2000. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 23, 315-337.
- Hulse, N. 2019. Preferences in College Admission. *Society*, 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12115-019-00380-7>
- Hurwitz, M. 2011. The impact of legacy status on undergraduate admissions at elite colleges and universities. *Economics of Education Review*, 30, 480-492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECONEDUREV.2010.12.002>.
- Gardner-McTaggart, A. 2016. International elite, or global citizens? Equity, distinction and power: the International Baccalaureate and the rise of the South. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, 14, 1 - 29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2014.959475>.
- Gardner-McTaggart, A. 2018. The promise of advantage: Englishness in IB international schools. *Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education*, 22, 109 - 114. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603108.2018.1456491>.
- Geiger, R. L. 2019. *American higher education since World War II: A history*. Princeton University Press.
- Glewwe, P., & Jacoby, H. 2004. Economic Growth and the Demand for Education: Is there a Wealth Effect?. *Journal of Development Economics*, 74, 33-51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JDEVECO.2003.12.003>.
- Goldin, C. 2001. THE HUMAN-CAPITAL CENTURY AND AMERICAN LEADERSHIP: VIRTUES OF THE PAST. Cambridge University Press, 61(2), 263-292. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022050701028017>.
- Grodsky, E. 2010. Learning in the Shadows and in the Light of Day: A commentary on Shadow Education, American Style: Test Preparation, the SAT and College Enrollment. *Social Forces*, 89, 475 - 481. <https://doi.org/10.1353/SOF.2010.0063>.
- IBO. n.d.-a. Why the IB is different. International Baccalaureate. Retrieved September 30, 2024, from <https://www.ibo.org/benefits/why-the-ib-is-different/#:~:text=IB%20programmes%20incorporate%20quality%20practice,complex%20and%20hyper%2Dconnected%20world>.
- IBO. n.d.-b. IB as a district or national curriculum. Retrieved September 28, 2024, from <https://www.ibo.org/benefits/ib-as-a-district-or-national-curriculum/> (2024/09/30)
- IBO. n.d.-c. What is an IB education? Retrieved from <https://www.ibo.org/globalassets/new-structure/about-the-ib/pdfs/what-is-an-ib-education-en.pdf>. (2024/09/30)
- Igarashi, H., & Saito, H. 2014. Cosmopolitanism as Cultural Capital: Exploring the Intersection of Globalization, Education and Stratification. *Cultural Sociology*, 8, 222 - 239. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1749975514523935>
- Jacobs, J., & Stoner-Eby, S. 1998. Adult Enrollment and Educational Attainment. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 559, 108 - 91. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716298559001008>
- Lai, B., & Thyne, C. 2007. The Effect of Civil War on Education, 1980—97. *Journal of Peace*

- Research*, 44, 277 - 292. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343307076631>.
- Lareau, A. 2011. *Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life*. University of California Press.
- Launius, R. 2008. An Unintended Consequence of the IGY: Eisenhower, Sputnik, and the Founding of NASA. 46th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit. doi:10.2514/6.2008-860
- Maire, Q., & Windle, J. 2021. The contribution of the International Baccalaureate Diploma to educational inequalities: reinventing historical logics of curriculum stratification in a comprehensive system. *Educational Review*, 74, 76 - 92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2021.1905609>
- Manning, P. 2013. 'No Reefer Madness Please—We're British': accounting for the remarkable absence of mediated drugs education in post-war Britain 1945–1985. *Media History*, 19, 479 - 495. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13688804.2013.844893>
- Marginson, S. 2016. The worldwide trend to high participation higher education: dynamics of social stratification in inclusive systems. *Higher Education*, 72, 413-434. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10734-016-0016-X>.
- Matasci, D., Jerónimo, M B., & Dores, H G. 2020. *Introduction: Historical Trajectories of Education and Development in (Post)Colonial Africa*. Springer Nature, 1-28. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27801-4\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27801-4_1)
- Meyer, J W., Ramirez, F O., & Soysal, Y N. 1992. World Expansion of Mass Education, 1870-1980. SAGE Publishing, 65(2), 128-128. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2112679>.
- Kamens, D. H. 1988. Education and Democracy: A Comparative Institutional Analysis. *Sociology of Education*, 61(2), 114. doi:10.2307/2112268
- Kelly, G P. 1990. Education and equality: Comparative perspectives on the expansion of education and women in the post-war period. Elsevier BV, 10(2-3), 131-141. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0738-0593\(90\)90029-n](https://doi.org/10.1016/0738-0593(90)90029-n)
- Oketch, M., & Rolleston, C. 2017. Policies on free primary and secondary education in East Africa: *Retrospect and prospect*. *Educational Policy*, 31(1), 131-155. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X07300046131>
- Paglayan, A. 2022. Education or Indoctrination? The Violent Origins of Public School Systems in an Era of State-Building. *American Political Science Review*, 116, 1242 - 1257. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055422000247>.
- Perna, L. W., et al. 2015. Improving Research-Based Knowledge of College Promise Programs. American Educational Research Association.
- Perna, L., May, H., Yee, A., Ransom, T., Rodriguez, A., & Fester, R. 2015. Unequal Access to Rigorous High School Curricula. *Educational Policy*, 29, 402 - 425. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904813492383>
- Poelzer, G. H., & Feldhusen, J. F. 1997. The international baccalaureate: A program for gifted secondary students. *Roeper Review*, 19(3), 168-171. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02783199709553820>
- Psacharopoulos, G., & Patrinos, H. A. 2018. Returns to investment in education: A decennial review of the global literature. *Education Economics*, 26(5), 445-458.
- Putnam, R. D. 2015. *Our kids: The American dream in crisis*. Simon & Schuster.
- Ramírez, F O., & Boli, J. 1987. *The Political Construction of Mass Schooling: European Origins and Worldwide Institutionalization*. SAGE Publishing, 60(1), 2-2. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2112615>.
- Reardon, S. F. 2011. The widening academic achievement gap between the rich and the poor: New evidence and possible explanations. In G. J. Duncan & R. J. Murnane (Eds.),



- Whither opportunity? Rising inequality, schools, and children's life chances* (pp. 91–116). Russell Sage Foundation.
- Reay, D. 2004. It's all about love: Young people, social class and the education system. *Sociology*, 38(3), 575-596. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038504044210>
- Reeves, A., Friedman, S., Rahal, C., & Flemmen, M. 2017. The Decline and Persistence of the Old Boy: Private Schools and Elite Recruitment 1897 to 2016. *American Sociological Review*, 82, 1139 - 1166. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122417735742>.
- Reeves, R. V. 2017. *Dream Hoarders: How the American Upper Middle Class Is Leaving Everyone Else in the Dust, Why That Is a Problem, and What to Do About It*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Reinalda, B. 2008. The ongoing Bologna Process and Political science. *European Political Science*, 7, 382-393. <https://doi.org/10.1057/EPS.2008.16>.
- Robbins, L. 1963. Higher education: Report of the Committee appointed by the Prime Minister under the Chairmanship of Lord Robbins. Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
- Rubin, R. 2014. Who Gets In and Why? An Examination of Admissions to America's Most Selective Colleges and Universities. *International Economic Review*, 2, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.12735/IER.V2I2P01>.
- Schultz, T. W. 1961. Investment in human capital. *The American Economic Review*, 51(1), 1-17.
- Schofer, E., & Meyer, J W. 2005. *The Worldwide Expansion of Higher Education in the Twentieth Century*. SAGE Publishing, 70(6), 898-920. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240507000602>.
- Sharma, A., & Subramanyam, M. 2020. Psychological responses to reservation-based discrimination: A qualitative study of socially marginalized youth at a premier Indian university. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 79, 102298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2020.102298>.
- Smith, H. 1986. Overeducation and Underemployment: An Agnostic Review.. *Sociology Of Education*, 59, 85-99. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2112434>
- Soysal, Y N., & Strang, D. 1989. *Construction of the First Mass Education Systems in Nineteenth-Century Europe*. SAGE Publishing, 62(4), 277-277. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2112831>.
- Stafford, K., Lundstedt, S., & Lynn, A. 1984. Social and Economic Factors Affecting Participation in Higher Education. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 55, 590-608. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.1984.11780681>.
- Tamanaha, B. Z. 2012. *Failing Law Schools*. University of Chicago Press.
- Tilak, J. 1991. Family and government investments in education. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 11, 91-106. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0738-0593\(91\)90035-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0738-0593(91)90035-7).
- Tilly, C. 1998. *Durable Inequality*. University of California Press.
- Thomas, G., Alexander, K., & Eckland, B. 1979. Access to Higher Education: The Importance of Race, Sex, Social Class, and Academic Credentials. *The School Review*, 87, 133 - 156. <https://doi.org/10.1086/443466>.
- Todeva, E. 2000. Financing Higher Education Reforms in the UK: An Institutional Analysis. *Political Economy: National*.
- Tomlinson, M., & Watermeyer, R. 2022. When masses meet markets: Credentialism and commodification in higher education. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 43(2), 173–187.
- Wallace, R. 1981. *The Origins and Authorship of the 1944 Education Act*. Taylor & Francis,

- 10(4), 283-290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0046760810100405>.
- Wang, J., & Li, B. 2018. Supplementary education, student development and education equity: evidence from primary schools in Beijing, China. *Education Economics*, 26, 459 - 487. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09645292.2018.1460653>.
- Westberg, J. 2015. Multiplying the origins of mass schooling: An analysis of the preconditions common to schooling and the school building process in Sweden, 1840–1900. *History of Education*, 44(4), 415–436. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0046760X.2015.1015625>
- Wils, A., & Goujon, A. 1998. Diffusion of Education in Six World Regions, 1960-90. *Population and Development Review*, 24(2), 357–368. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2807979>
- Wolhuter, C. C., & Wet, C. de. 2015. The Worldwide Expansion of Education since the Middle of the Twentieth Century: Reconstruction and Assessment. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 43(2), 105–114. doi:10.1080/09718923.2015.11893428.
- Yussen, S., Allen, T., Cronin, S., Dienhart, C., Martyr, M., Song, W., & VanMeerten, N. 2016. A Growing Chasm of Opportunity for American Children: A Review of Putnam. 2015. *Educational Researcher*, 45, 463 - 465. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X16679181>.
- Zeng, H. 2023. Academic Aspirations or Societal Realities: Motivation for Continuing Pursuing Higher Education among Chinese Undergraduates. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/30/20231737>
- Zinkina, J., Korotayev, A., & Andreev, A. 2016. Mass primary education in the nineteenth century. *Globalistics and Globalization Studies* 2016, 63-70.
- Zou, F. 2020. Correlation Analysis between Household Income and Education Expenditure. DEStech Transactions on Social Science, *Education and Human Science*. <https://doi.org/10.12783/dtssehs/icesd2020/34526>
- Zuo, M. 2021. China's universities produce millions of graduates each year, but many can't get a decent job and end up unemployed or in factories. *South China Morning Post*.